

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P. D. Dox 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/039,471	10/19/2001	Martin T. Martin	100391-02030	1031
35745	7590 08/24/200	•	EXAMINER	
KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 919 THIRD AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10022			PATTERSON, CHARLES L JR	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1652	
			DATE MAN ED 00/04/200	

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/039,471	MARTIN, MARTIN T.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Charles L. Patterson, Jr	1652			
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	unication appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU - Extensions of time may be available under the provisic after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for re Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	INICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may mmunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) and ply will, by statute, cause the application to become as after the mailing date of this communication, over the statutory and the statutory are statutory.	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on 21 June 2004				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the	annlication				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> are subject to restrict	tion and/or election requirement				
Application Papers	and the second requirement.				
9) The specification is objected to by t					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e: a) accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any obj	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	ig the correction is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies	y documents have been received. y documents have been received in y s of the priority documents have been ponal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948) Paper No((s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paner No /Mail Date 08182004			

Art Unit: 1652

Applicant argue that part of the previous restriction requirement should not have been a restriction requirement but rather an election of species. The examiner agrees generally and therefore the following action is done:

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-16, 27-33, drawn to a method of modifying a biologically active target comprising contacting the target with a catalyst capable of chemically modifying the target molecule and a composition capable of modifying the biologically active target, classified in class 435, subclass 41⁺.
- II. Claims 17-26, drawn to a catalytic antibody capable of chemically modifying a biologically active target molecule, classified in class 435, subclass 188.5.
- III. Claims 34-35, drawn to a method of treating a disease condition associated with $TNF\alpha$, classified in class 424, subclass 94.1.
- IV. Claims 36-37, drawn to a method of treating a disease condition associated with VEGF, classified in class 424, subclass 94.1.
- V. Claims 38-39, drawn to a method of treating a disease condition associated with IL-4, classified in class 424, subclass 94.1.
- VI. Claims 40-41, drawn to a method of treating a disease condition associated with IL-6, classified in class 424, subclass 94.1.
- VII. Claims 42-45, drawn to a method of modifying a biologically active target by contacting it with a catalyst that attaches the target molecule to a label, classified in class 435, subclass 41^{+} .

Art Unit: 1652

Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as by one of the processes in Groups I, not related to modification of the target molecules in Groups II or one the treatment methods of Groups III-VI.

Inventions II and III-VI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as to modify a target not associated with treating a treating a disease.

The method of Group VII is different from all of the other methods in that it modifies the target molecule by attaching a label and none of the other methods do this.

Claims 1-16, 27-33 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising introducing a chemical moiety to said target molecule, linking two or more target molecules, modulating an activity of said target molecule, deactivating said target molecule, targeting said target molecule for degradation or clearance, modification by acylation, modification by glycosylation, modification by esterification or modification by

Art Unit: 1652

transamidation. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Claims 17-26 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising modifying $TNF\alpha$, IL-4, IL-6 or VEGFr2. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1652

Applicant notes in his reply to the first restriction requirement that old groups I-IX and XVIII (new groups I and VII) are both classified in class 435, subclass 41+. It is pointed out that "subclass 41+" includes 41-171, depending upon what the target molecule is and therefore it encompasses many different subclasses. They also note that old groups XIV-XVII (new groups III-VI) are classified in group 424, subclass 94.1. It is pointed out that these treatment methods are drawn to treating completely different disease conditions that may have completely different causes and/or treatments.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles L. Patterson, Jr., PhD, whose telephone number is 571-272-0936. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 7:30 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapura Achutamurthy, can be reached on 571-272-0928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

Art Unit: 1652

4 1

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Charles L. Patterson, Jr.

Page 6

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1652

Patterson August 18, 2004